

# Addressing Community Inequities and Social Determinants of Preparedness: A Prototype Mobile Application for Hyperlocal Emergency Response Coordination

Anthony Mazza<sup>1</sup>, Darryl Keaton<sup>2</sup>, Astrid Granville-Martin<sup>3\*</sup>, Nick Mazza<sup>3</sup> & Charles Abbot<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, University of the District of Columbia

<sup>2</sup>SME, Sensagrate, Inc.

<sup>3</sup>Student Intern.

Received: 24/11/2025 | Accepted: 07/01/2026 | Published: 05/02/2026

**Abstract:** Social determinants such as income level, education, disability status, and housing conditions shape not only exposure to hazards but also the capacity for recovery (SAMHSA, 2017). Communities with fewer resources often face longer recovery periods, higher mortality, and more profound long-term impacts.

This study presents the design and development of a prototype mobile application intended to support hyperlocal emergency response coordination within United States communities. Grounded in the working thesis that inequities in resource ownership and distribution directly influence community-level emergency preparedness, the project integrates demographic, geographic, and asset-mapping data from publicly available sources. Ivy City, Washington, DC, was selected as the model community due to its diverse population, concentration of vulnerable groups, and complex topographical and infrastructural features. A simulation engine incorporated within the prototype uses community-specific social determinants to estimate probability distributions of emergency outcomes. Findings indicate that the prototype's modular and replicable design facilitates its adaptation across diverse neighborhoods and that visualizing localized vulnerabilities can support more equitable preparedness planning.

**Keywords:** Emergency preparedness, social determinants, community resilience, disaster response, health equity, mobile technology.

## Introduction

Emergency preparedness in the United States varies considerably between communities, often reflecting underlying inequities in resource distribution, infrastructure, and sociopolitical power (Cutter et al., 2014). Hyperlocal conditions—defined here as the unique demographic, geographic, and social characteristics of neighborhoods—play a critical role in determining how communities respond to and recover from disaster events. Yet existing emergency management systems often lack the granularity necessary for neighborhood-level planning and coordination. This article presents the design and early evaluation of a prototype mobile application intended to support hyperlocal emergency planning and response through neighborhood-specific data and simulation. The working thesis is that inequities in the ownership and distribution of critical resources across communities directly affect emergency preparedness, response, and recovery capacity.

This research project explores the design of a prototype mobile application that aggregates hyperlocal data to support community stakeholders, emergency managers, and residents in coordinating disaster responses. The guiding thesis posits that inequities in resource ownership, distribution, and accessibility directly influence emergency preparedness capacities. To investigate this thesis, the project team collected neighborhood-specific data, identified local assets and vulnerabilities, and

embedded these elements into a simulation-based decision-support tool.

Drawing on publicly available demographic, geographic, and infrastructural data, the project team assembled a block-group-level profile of Ivy City, Washington, DC, including social determinants of vulnerability such as income, age structure, disability, transportation access, housing type, and proximity to emergency services. Ivy City was selected because it combines substantial assets (health care facilities, potential shelter spaces) with high-risk populations (older adults, detained persons, patients) and topographical constraints (major arterials, dead-end streets, flood-prone areas). The prototype application, DisasterGuard©, allows a designated neighborhood coordinator to simulate snowstorm and hurricane scenarios, estimate outcome distributions based on social determinant inputs, and generate routes and sheltering plans.

Natural disasters increasingly threaten communities across the United States, with recent events such as Hurricane Ian and the Hawaiian wildfires demonstrating the devastating consequences of inadequate emergency preparedness (Mazza et al., 2023). While disaster prevention remains impossible, the growing frequency and severity of such events necessitate more proactive approaches to emergency management. Current emergency response systems often employ city-wide strategies that fail to account for

\*Corresponding Author

Astrid Granville-Martin\*

Student Intern.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license



neighborhood-level variations in resources, demographics, and vulnerability factors.

Communities do not experience disasters equally; preexisting inequities in wealth, infrastructure, health, and access to information shape who can prepare, evacuate, shelter, and recover. In Washington, DC, citywide emergency plans provide formal coverage to all residents, but operationally, they tend to privilege populations with cars, digital connectivity, and flexible resources, leaving low-income and marginalized groups more exposed. This project responds by shifting the planning unit from the city to the census block group and embedding neighborhood-specific social determinants into a mobile decision-support tool for on-the-ground coordinators.

This research addresses critical gaps in emergency preparedness by examining how resource distribution inequities directly impact community resilience. The study proposes that traditional emergency planning models inadequately serve diverse populations, particularly low-income and minority communities facing systemic barriers to safety resources. These barriers include limited access to transportation, internet connectivity, financial resources, and English language proficiency—factors that significantly influence individuals' ability to prepare for, respond to, and recover from disasters.

The University of the District of Columbia initiated the DisasterGuard project in partnership with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security after identifying systematic gaps in DC's plans for residents with mobility limitations, cognitive or behavioral health challenges, and limited English proficiency. The prototype seeks to operationalize equitable preparedness by integrating public data, local physical constraints, and known assets into a flexible interface that can be used before, during, and after an event at the hyperlocal level. Our methods are repeatable, the model is modifiable, and the architecture supports extension to any U.S. neighborhood that has compatible census and hazard data. By mapping its unique characteristics into the prototype application, the study demonstrates how localized data can shape more equitable preparedness strategies.

The primary purpose of this study was to develop and validate a community-centered emergency preparedness framework that addresses documented inequities in disaster response capabilities. Initiated in 2019 by the University of the District of Columbia in collaboration with the Department of Homeland Security, this project emerged from identified deficiencies in Washington, DC's emergency response measures. Preliminary analysis revealed that existing protocols demonstrated systematic oversights regarding residents with physical or mental disabilities, limited English proficiency, or inadequate access to emergency communications.

This research makes several significant contributions to emergency management literature and practice. First, it provides empirical documentation of resource disparities between contrasting urban neighborhoods, quantifying how social determinants affect emergency preparedness capacity. Second, it introduces a scalable technological solution—the DisasterGuard mobile application—designed to facilitate hyperlocal disaster coordination. Third, it establishes a replicable methodology for assessing community-level vulnerability and designing targeted interventions. Finally, it demonstrates how publicly available data

sources can be systematically integrated to support evidence-based emergency planning.

## **Literature Review**

### ***Inequities and Emergency Preparedness***

Research consistently shows that structural inequities—such as unequal distribution of healthcare infrastructure, transportation resources, and economic capital—influence communities' resilience to disasters (Tierney, 2019). Decades of research in disaster sociology and public health have shown that vulnerability is socially produced, with race, income, housing tenure, immigration status, and disability status all associated with differential disaster impacts.

Emergency preparedness capabilities vary substantially across communities, with socioeconomic factors serving as primary determinants of resilience (Williams et al., 2016). Generational cycles of poverty, unequal employment opportunities, and residential segregation create neighborhoods with concentrated disadvantages. During emergencies, these disadvantages manifest as reduced capacity to evacuate, limited access to emergency supplies, inadequate shelter options, and delayed recovery assistance. Research consistently demonstrates that low-income and minority populations face disproportionate risks during natural disasters, experiencing higher mortality rates, longer recovery periods, and greater economic losses compared to affluent communities. These disparities stem not from the disasters themselves but from pre-existing structural inequalities that limit access to protective resources.

Transportation access represents a critical determinant of emergency preparedness capacity. Anderson (2016) documented that among urban residents, 34% of Black individuals and 27% of Hispanic individuals report using public transportation daily or weekly, compared to only 14% of White individuals. Foreign-born urban residents demonstrate even higher reliance on public transit (38% versus 18% for U.S.-born residents). These patterns significantly affect evacuation capabilities during disasters when public transportation systems may be disrupted or overwhelmed.

### ***Social Determinants and Disaster Vulnerability***

Social determinants of health—the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age—profoundly influence disaster vulnerability (Williams et al., 2016). Key determinants relevant to emergency preparedness include income level, educational attainment, employment status, housing quality, transportation access, language proficiency, internet connectivity, and proximity to emergency services. Communities with adverse social determinants demonstrate reduced capacity across all phases of disaster management: mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery.

Income disparities directly correlate with emergency preparedness capacity. Higher-income households maintain financial reserves for emergency supplies, possess reliable transportation for evacuation, and can afford temporary relocation during extended disasters. Conversely, low-income families often live paycheck to paycheck, lack savings for emergency expenses, and face difficult choices between eviction, evacuation, and employment obligations. The U.S. Census Bureau (2021) documented persistent income gaps across racial groups, with

median household incomes varying substantially by demographic category.

Language barriers create additional vulnerability for non-English-speaking populations. Emergency communications typically prioritize English, leaving limited-English-proficient individuals without adequate warning or instruction during disasters. This communication gap can prove fatal when rapid response becomes necessary, as demonstrated in numerous disaster case studies documenting disproportionate impacts on immigrant communities.

Digital divides compound preparedness inequities. Emergency management increasingly relies on digital communication channels for alerts, updates, and coordination. However, significant portions of low-income and elderly populations lack reliable internet access or smartphone capabilities (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). This digital exclusion prevents the timely receipt of emergency notifications and limits access to online resources for disaster preparation and recovery assistance.

### ***Information and Technology in Emergency Planning***

Hyperlocal assessments provide finer resolution than city- or county-scale emergency management frameworks (Chakraborty et al., 2019). Geographic Information Systems (GIS), community asset mapping, and neighborhood-based vulnerability indexes have been shown to improve the accuracy of risk estimation and guide targeted response planning (Rufat et al., 2019). However, tools that integrate such data into accessible, user-friendly platforms remain limited.

Mobile technologies have increasingly been used to support emergency communication, logistics, and early warning systems (Reuter & Kaufhold, 2018). Even so, most applications focus on macro-level alerts rather than block-level resource coordination. Studies recommend incorporating real-time information, asset availability, and community-based data into mobile platforms to strengthen preparedness and response (Yates & Paquette, 2011).

Simulation frameworks—particularly those using probability distributions derived from social determinants of vulnerability—are effective in anticipating varied disaster outcomes (Cunningham et al., 2021). These tools can serve as decision-support systems, allowing emergency managers to test scenarios, identify weak points in response systems, and allocate resources more efficiently.

### ***Current Emergency Management Approaches***

Traditional emergency management employs hierarchical, top-down approaches emphasizing city-wide or county-wide coordination (Ready.gov, 2023). These systems establish standardized protocols intended to provide uniform protection across jurisdictions. While efficient for resource allocation and command structure, such approaches often fail to address neighborhood-level variations in needs, capabilities, and vulnerabilities.

Existing evacuation protocols frequently assume universal access to private transportation, internet connectivity, and English-language comprehension. For example, Washington, DC's emergency evacuation plan relies on city buses circulating to collect residents unable to evacuate independently (ReadyDC, 2023). However, this approach provides no mechanism for identifying specific individuals requiring assistance, coordinating

neighborhood-level mutual aid, or addressing cultural factors affecting response behaviors.

Shelter-in-place protocols similarly assume uniform housing conditions and resource availability. Winter storm guidelines recommend ensuring adequate insulation, emergency supplies, carbon monoxide detectors, and generators (Ready.gov, 2023). These recommendations presume financial capacity to purchase equipment, housing quality sufficient to withstand weather, and knowledge of preparation strategies. Low-income renters in substandard housing—disproportionately affecting minority communities—cannot feasibly implement many recommended measures.

### ***Community-Based Disaster Management***

Emerging research advocates for community-based approaches to emergency management that recognize local knowledge, existing social networks, and neighborhood-specific characteristics (Mazza et al., 2023). Community-based disaster management empowers local residents to participate in planning, response coordination, and recovery activities. This approach leverages existing community assets, respects cultural contexts, and builds sustainable resilience rather than dependency on external assistance.

Community-based models demonstrate particular effectiveness in serving vulnerable populations. Local coordinators familiar with neighborhood demographics can identify residents with mobility limitations, language barriers, or social isolation. Trusted community members can communicate emergency information through culturally appropriate channels and address concerns that residents might not express to external authorities. Neighborhood social capital—relationships and norms facilitating cooperation—becomes a critical resource during disasters.

Technology increasingly enables community-based emergency coordination while maintaining connections to broader response systems. Mobile applications can facilitate real-time communication between community coordinators and residents, provide multilingual emergency information, map neighborhood resources and vulnerabilities, and generate evacuation routes accounting for local conditions. Digital tools have been proposed as one route to more equitable preparedness, but many existing applications focus on individual users with smartphones, reliable broadband, and the ability to self-evacuate. Emerging work on the community-level and asset-based disaster technologies suggests greater promise when tools are designed around: (a) granular spatial units, (b) local leaders or intermediaries who can reach offline residents, and (c) integration with official hazard protocols and open data APIs. However, few deployed systems explicitly parameterize social determinants of health and infrastructure as inputs into real-time simulations. Technology solutions must carefully address digital access barriers to avoid exacerbating existing inequities. DisasterGuard© addresses this gap by linking census-based indicators, neighborhood assets, and hazard characteristics within a simulation environment targeted to a designated coordinator role.

### ***Gaps in Current Research and Practice***

Despite growing recognition of emergency preparedness disparities, significant gaps remain in both research and practice. First, limited empirical documentation exists quantifying specific resource gaps at neighborhood scales. While city-level statistics

reveal broad patterns, emergency planning requires granular data identifying which blocks or census tracts lack critical resources. Second, few validated tools exist for assessing community-level disaster vulnerability across multiple dimensions simultaneously. Third, minimal research examines how technology can support equitable emergency management without requiring universal digital access.

Studies on Hurricane Katrina, Superstorm Sandy, and recent wildfires have documented that residents with lower incomes, less access to private vehicles, and weaker social networks are less likely to receive timely warnings, more likely to be trapped in place, and slower to recover financially and psychologically. These findings have fueled calls for “equity-centered resilience” frameworks that allocate resources in proportion to need rather than equally across geographic space.

This study addresses these gaps by developing a replicable methodology for compiling neighborhood-level vulnerability assessments, creating a technology platform designed with equity considerations, and documenting implementation processes applicable to diverse communities. The research demonstrates how publicly available data sources can be systematically integrated to support evidence-based, community-centered emergency planning at scale. This literature supports the development of a hyperlocal, simulation-enabled mobile application as a tool for addressing community-level inequities in disaster preparedness.

## **Project Design**

### ***Research Objectives***

This research pursued four primary objectives: (1) document resource distribution disparities affecting emergency preparedness across contrasting urban neighborhoods; (2) identify social determinants influencing community disaster vulnerability and resilience; (3) develop a technological framework supporting equitable, community-centered emergency coordination; and (4) establish replicable methodologies applicable across diverse U.S. communities. These objectives guided all aspects of project design, from neighborhood selection through prototype development.

### ***Conceptual Framework***

The Project contemplated a social determinants of resilience framework, treating factors such as income, age distribution, disability prevalence, language access, car ownership, household size, housing type, and information access as structural conditions that shape both exposure to hazards and capacity to act. Rather than treating these variables as background context, the prototype encodes them as modifiable parameters that influence simulated timelines for evacuation, sheltering in place, and post-event recovery.

In this framework, a neighborhood’s preparedness is a function of (a) the distribution of vulnerability indicators, (b) the configuration of physical and institutional assets, and (c) the hazard-specific constraints on mobility and safety. The application’s simulation engine operationalizes this function by allowing a coordinator to select a disaster type, load current hazard forecasts, and overlay them on local demographic and infrastructural data to identify bottlenecks, high-risk pockets, and feasible evacuation or shelter strategies.

The study employed a comparative case study design examining two neighborhoods in Washington, DC, with markedly

different socioeconomic profiles: Ivy City (low-income, predominantly African American) and 16th Street Heights (high-income, racially diverse). Researchers compiled comprehensive demographic data at the census block group level, identified critical infrastructure and points of interest, and analyzed social determinants affecting emergency response capacity.

The research team developed operational criteria for two disaster scenarios—snowstorms and hurricanes—to test the applicability of the proposed framework across different emergency types. These scenarios provided contrasting challenges: snowstorms requiring extended shelter-in-place responses versus hurricanes potentially necessitating rapid evacuation. The DisasterGuard prototype incorporates these scenarios into simulation tools that allow community coordinators to model various response strategies based on real-time disaster parameters and neighborhood-specific characteristics.

The project employed a social determinants framework because the researchers realized that emergency preparedness capacity reflects broader patterns of resource distribution and structural inequality. This framework posits that disaster impacts result not primarily from hazard exposure but from pre-existing vulnerabilities shaped by social, economic, and political factors. Effective emergency planning must therefore address underlying inequities rather than assuming uniform population characteristics.

The research integrated several theoretical perspectives. Environmental justice principles informed attention to disproportionate disaster impacts on marginalized communities. Community resilience theories guided focus on local assets and social capital alongside vulnerability factors. Participatory planning approaches shaped the development of coordination mechanisms, empowering community members rather than imposing external solutions. Technology design incorporated universal design principles aiming to maximize accessibility while accommodating diverse capabilities.

### ***Community Study Selection***

Washington, DC, provided an ideal study setting due to its pronounced socioeconomic diversity within a compact geographic area, well-established emergency management infrastructure, and comprehensive publicly available data. The district’s dense urban form presented realistic constraints for evacuation and shelter-in-place scenarios. Additionally, DC’s status as the national capital ensured emergency planning received substantial attention and resources, making identified gaps particularly significant.

Researchers employed systematic criteria for selecting study neighborhoods to ensure meaningful contrasts while maintaining comparability. Selection prioritized neighborhoods differing substantially in income levels, racial composition, and access to emergency resources while remaining similar in urban form and disaster exposure. Geographic proximity between neighborhoods controlled for regional factors like climate, governance structures, and available emergency services.

The team identified Ivy City and 16th Street Heights as optimal comparison sites. Ivy City represented a low-income, predominantly African American neighborhood with documented resource limitations. Census data indicated median household income of \$61,034, with 71% of residents identifying as Black and 7% lacking internet access (Census Reporter, 2021a). In contrast, 16th Street Heights constituted a high-income, racially diverse

neighborhood with a median household income of \$183,000 and less than 1% lacking internet connectivity (Census Reporter, 2021).

This community-centered approach prioritizes equitable access to emergency resources through localized coordination, real-time communication, and adaptable evacuation planning. The methodology proved scalable and replicable across diverse U.S. communities, offering a forward-thinking solution to persistent emergency management challenges. Results suggest that hyperlocal emergency planning, supported by accessible technology platforms, can significantly reduce vulnerability among underserved populations while improving overall community resilience during natural disasters.

### ***Census Geography Framework***

The research employed census block groups as the fundamental geographic unit for analysis. Census block groups represent subdivisions of census tracts, typically containing 1,000 to 3,000 residents across several city blocks (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). This scale proved optimal for community-based emergency planning, being small enough to reflect neighborhood-level characteristics while large enough to maintain data reliability and protect individual privacy.

When multiple block groups existed within selected neighborhoods, researchers chose groups with denser populations, fewer parks or non-residential areas, and straightforward street networks. This selection strategy simplified initial data compilation and prototype testing while establishing frameworks applicable to more complex geographies in subsequent implementations.

### ***Disaster Scenario Selection***

The research team selected two contrasting disaster types to test framework applicability across different emergency characteristics. Snowstorms with extended power outages represented shelter-in-place scenarios requiring sustained resource access and community mutual aid. Hurricanes represented potential evacuation scenarios demanding rapid coordination and transportation logistics. These disasters also reflect realistic threats to Mid-Atlantic communities, ensuring practical relevance.

For each disaster type, researchers established specific operational criteria determining emergency thresholds and response protocols. Snowstorm criteria included anticipated snowfall amount and rate, temperature forecasts, wind speeds, visibility conditions, road safety thresholds, and projected duration (Ready.gov, 2023). Hurricane criteria encompassed wind speed, Saffir-Simpson scale category, rainfall amounts, tide heights, trajectory, and advance warning time (National Hurricane Center, 2023). These criteria enabled simulation tools to generate scenario-specific recommendations based on real-time conditions.

### ***Social Determinants Framework***

The research team compiled a comprehensive list of social determinants relevant to emergency preparedness capacity. Determinants fell into several categories: demographic characteristics (age distribution, household composition, disability status), economic factors (income levels, employment status, car ownership), communication capabilities (internet access, English proficiency), geographic factors (proximity to emergency services, public transit availability, topography), and community assets (points of interest, social infrastructure). This framework aimed for comprehensiveness while prioritizing factors with clear

connections to disaster vulnerability and measurable through available data sources.

## **Methodology**

### ***Data Collection and Sources***

The research employed publicly available data sources to ensure replicability and facilitate scaling to additional communities. Primary data sources included U.S. Census Bureau databases, local emergency management websites, geographic information systems, and mapping platforms. This approach demonstrated that comprehensive community vulnerability assessments can be conducted without requiring primary data collection, reducing barriers to implementation.

### **Census Demographic Data**

Researchers accessed census data through the U.S. Census Bureau's data portal (<https://data.census.gov>), which provides detailed demographic, economic, and housing statistics at multiple geographic scales. The team utilized 2020 Decennial Census data, representing the most recent comprehensive population count available during the research period. For certain social determinants, researchers supplemented with American Community Survey estimates providing more detailed characteristics.

Data compilation followed systematic procedures. Researchers first navigated to the census data portal and filtered results to Washington, DC. Using the geography filters, they selected specific census tracts and block groups identified through the selection process. The portal's topic filters enabled efficient location of relevant datasets. For example, selecting "Income and Poverty" topics revealed multiple tables containing median household income, per capita income, and poverty rates.

Census data presented certain challenges requiring methodological decisions. Multiple population tables existed with slightly different specifications, particularly regarding Hispanic/Latino ethnicity. The team consistently selected tables treating Hispanic/Latino origin as a separate category (Table P2) rather than subsuming it within other racial categories (Table P1). This approach better reflected neighborhood diversity and aligned with contemporary understanding of ethnicity and race as distinct dimensions (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020).

### **Geographic and Infrastructure Data**

Researchers obtained census tract and block group boundary files through Open Data DC (<https://opendata.dc.gov>), Washington's open data portal providing geographic information system layers. The portal offered interactive maps displaying census geography overlays, facilitating visual identification of block group boundaries and characteristics. Researchers exported boundary files for integration into mapping applications.

Infrastructure data came from multiple sources. Emergency service locations (police stations, fire departments, hospitals) were identified through government websites and verified using mapping platforms. Researchers measured travel distances and times using Google Maps, recording results during typical weekday conditions to reflect realistic accessibility. Public transportation access was assessed through the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority website, documenting bus routes and Metro stations within or adjacent to study block groups.

### Points of Interest Identification

The research team employed systematic procedures for identifying points of interest—locations with potential emergency management utility. Using Google Maps satellite and street views, researchers visually surveyed each block group to locate schools, churches, community centers, large grocery stores, hotels, and senior facilities. These locations represented potential emergency shelters, resource distribution sites, or coordination centers.

For each identified point of interest, researchers recorded the address, facility type, approximate capacity, and any known emergency capabilities (backup generators, medical equipment, communication systems). This information was compiled into databases supporting the DisasterGuard application's mapping and routing functions.

### ***Disaster Protocol Research***

Emergency response protocols were gathered from official sources including Ready.gov (national preparedness information), ReadyDC (Washington, DC's emergency management portal), and the National Hurricane Center (hurricane-specific guidance). Researchers documented standard protocols for winter storms and hurricanes, including warning timelines, recommended preparations, evacuation triggers, and safety procedures (Ready.gov, 2023; ReadyDC, 2023; National Hurricane Center, 2023).

The team established operational criteria for each disaster type, defining thresholds determining response escalation. These criteria incorporated both predictable elements (e.g., forecasted snowfall amounts) and contextual factors (e.g., neighborhood topography affecting flood risk). Criteria development balanced scientific accuracy with practical utility for community coordinators without specialized training.

### ***Data Organization and Management***

Collected data were organized into structured databases facilitating integration with the DisasterGuard prototype. Researchers created spreadsheets for each neighborhood containing three columns: social determinant name, corresponding value or statistic, and data source with specific census table identifier (see Appendices A and B). This structure enabled systematic documentation while supporting future data updates as new census releases become available.

The team maintained detailed documentation of all methodological decisions, data sources, and compilation procedures. This documentation ensured transparency and replicability while creating resources for implementing similar assessments in other communities. All data sources were fully cited with persistent URLs when available, recognizing that websites and data portals occasionally reorganize content.

### ***Application Development Approach***

The DisasterGuard prototype was developed using iterative design processes incorporating user-centered principles. The development team included student researchers with expertise in software engineering, geographic information systems, and social science research, along with professional technology consultants. This multidisciplinary collaboration ensured the prototype addressed both technical requirements and social equity considerations.

### Architectural Design

The application architecture followed mobile-first design principles, prioritizing smartphone accessibility while maintaining web-based coordinator interfaces. The technical stack included Visual Studio and Xamarin for cross-platform mobile development, Azure services for backend infrastructure and data management, and integration with mapping APIs for routing functionality (Azure Solution Ideas, 2023). This architecture supported scalability to additional communities while maintaining performance and reliability.

The system design incorporated three primary components: a coordinator interface with full application functionality, a resident interface providing emergency information and communication capabilities, and a backend system managing data integration, user authentication, and real-time updates. Data flow proceeded from external sources (census databases, weather services, emergency management portals) through application programming interfaces into the backend system, which processed and delivered information to user interfaces.

### Wireframe and Prototype Development

Development began with wireframe sketches mapping user workflows and interface layouts. The team documented coordinator tasks—simulation initiation, disaster parameter input, route planning, point of interest management, and communication distribution. Wireframes progressed through multiple iterations based on team review and consideration of usability principles.

The functional prototype was implemented using Figma, a collaborative design platform enabling interactive demonstrations without full backend development. Researchers adapted existing mobile application templates while customizing interfaces, navigation flows, and information displays for emergency management contexts (Gopikrishnan, 2022; Vickriansyah, 2023). The prototype incorporated actual data from Ivy City and 16th Street Heights, demonstrating functionality with realistic information.

### Key Application Features

The DisasterGuard prototype included several core features addressing identified emergency preparedness gaps:

- **Simulation Tools:** Coordinators could initiate disaster simulations by selecting emergency types and inputting known parameters (snowfall amounts, hurricane categories, advance warning times). The application generated scenario-specific information displays and recommendation protocols based on operational criteria established during methodology development.
- **Interactive Mapping:** The application presented neighborhood maps with multiple overlay options. Coordinators could view street networks with real-time closure status, points of interest with detailed facility information, emergency service locations with routing capabilities, and topographic features indicating flood vulnerability. These visualizations supported spatial decision-making and resource allocation.
- **Route Planning:** The system generated evacuation routes to designated shelters, emergency transfer points, or highway exits based on current road conditions and neighborhood demographics. Routing algorithms accounted for street closures, capacity constraints at

destinations, and estimated evacuation times for entire neighborhoods. Coordinators could publish approved routes directly to residents through the application.

- **Communication Distribution:** The prototype included notification systems enabling coordinators to push emergency alerts, updates, and instructions to all neighborhood residents simultaneously. Communications could be customized based on resident characteristics, such as providing directions in multiple languages or including specific instructions for households with mobility limitations.
- **Neighborhood Information Dashboard:** Both coordinators and residents could access compiled census data, social determinant profiles, and community resource directories. This feature democratized access to preparedness information while supporting informed decision-making at individual and collective levels.

### **Analytical Approach**

The research employed primarily descriptive and comparative analytical methods appropriate for case study designs. Quantitative analysis consisted of documenting absolute and relative differences in social determinants between study neighborhoods. Researchers calculated percentages, ratios, and comparative statistics highlighting resource disparities.

Qualitative analysis examined how identified disparities translated into differential emergency preparedness capacity. The team considered how multiple disadvantages compounded vulnerability—for example, how limited income combined with inadequate public transportation access severely constrained evacuation options. This analysis informed prototype design decisions, ensuring the application addressed identified needs.

The research did not employ inferential statistics given the case study design focusing on two specific neighborhoods. However, the comparative approach provided strong descriptive evidence of systematic disparities while the prototype development demonstrated practical applications of social determinants frameworks to emergency planning.

## **Limitations**

### **Data Limitations**

Several data constraints affected research scope and required methodological accommodations. First, census data represented 2020 conditions, with certain social and economic indicators potentially shifting during the subsequent three years before research completion. The COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, substantially affected employment, income, and public transportation usage patterns. While 2020 census data remained the most reliable comprehensive source, researchers acknowledged temporal limitations.

Second, census data excluded or inadequately captured certain vulnerable populations critical to emergency planning. Homeless individuals, though enumerated in census counts, lack the geographic specificity enabling neighborhood-level planning. Undocumented immigrants may be underrepresented in census responses due to legitimate fears about data usage. The research team acknowledged these gaps, recommending future work integrate additional data sources and community outreach to serve these populations.

Third, certain social determinants relevant to emergency preparedness lacked readily available data. The team could not locate reliable statistics on vehicle ownership at block group levels, instead using the commuting method as a proxy indicator. Information about housing structural quality, though critical for shelter-in-place scenarios, proved difficult to systematically assess without property-level inspections. Mental health conditions and cognitive disabilities, significantly affecting emergency response capacity, remain inadequately documented in public databases.

Fourth, census tables sometimes contained inconsistencies requiring judgment calls. As noted in the findings section, different census tables reported identical population totals despite one disaggregating Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and the other subsuming it within other categories. Such discrepancies suggested data processing errors but offered no clear resolution, requiring researchers to select the most face-valid source.

### **Methodological Limitations**

The case study design provided rich detail about selected neighborhoods but limited generalizability. Findings from Ivy City and 16th Street Heights may not fully represent patterns in other low-income and high-income neighborhoods, particularly in different regions with varying housing patterns, transportation infrastructure, and disaster risks. However, the methodology's replicability enables validation across additional sites.

The research did not include primary data collection from neighborhood residents, limiting understanding of community perspectives, priorities, and existing informal emergency networks. While the social determinants framework incorporated research-based knowledge about vulnerability factors, resident participation could identify additional concerns and validate or challenge researcher assumptions. Future implementations should integrate community engagement throughout assessment and planning processes.

The prototype represented a demonstration system rather than a fully functional application. While the Figma interface effectively illustrated intended functionality and workflows, it lacked backend integration with live data sources, real-time communication capabilities, and the full routing algorithms necessary for operational deployment. Moving from prototype to implementation would require substantial additional development, testing, and refinement.

### **Technical Limitations**

The application design assumed smartphone access among community coordinators and at least partial access among residents. This assumption conflicts with documented digital divides affecting the target populations. While the research acknowledged this tension and proposed supplementary communication channels (designated coordinators with guaranteed access, radio and television announcements), the technology-centered approach inherently favored digitally connected populations.

The prototype did not address certain technical challenges that would arise in operational deployment. Data security and privacy protections become critical when applications store sensitive information about vulnerable individuals. System reliability during disasters, when cellular networks may be degraded requires careful infrastructure design. Ensuring coordinators receive adequate training and ongoing technical

support involves human resource investments beyond software development.

### **Scope Limitations**

The research focused exclusively on natural disasters, primarily snowstorms and hurricanes relevant to Mid-Atlantic regions. The framework may require substantial modifications for other emergency types (industrial accidents, active shooter situations, pandemics) or geographic contexts (earthquakes in seismically active regions, wildfires in western states). While the underlying community-based approach remains applicable, operational criteria and response protocols would need context-specific development.

The study examined only two neighborhoods within a single city, limiting analysis of how municipal-level policies, regional coordination, and state emergency management systems interact with community-based approaches. Scaling from neighborhood to city, county, or regional levels presents coordination challenges not addressed in this research. Future work should examine multi-level governance structures supporting community-based planning within broader emergency management systems.

## **Findings**

### ***Neighborhood Disparities in Emergency Preparedness Resources***

Comparative analysis revealed substantial disparities between Ivy City and 16th Street Heights across multiple dimensions affecting emergency preparedness capacity. These differences spanned economic resources, communication capabilities, transportation access, and proximity to emergency services, collectively creating markedly different vulnerability profiles.

#### ***Economic Resources***

Economic disparities between the neighborhoods proved stark and consequential. Ivy City demonstrated median per capita income of \$34,351 and median household income of \$61,034, compared to 16th Street Heights' median per capita income of \$54,257 and median household income of \$183,000 (Census Reporter, 2021, 2021a). The threefold difference in household income fundamentally shaped disaster preparedness capacity, affecting ability to maintain emergency supplies, secure reliable transportation, purchase backup generators, and temporarily relocate during extended emergencies.

These income gaps reflected broader patterns linking race and socioeconomic status. Ivy City's population was 71% Black, 14% White, 8% Hispanic, and 2% Asian, while 16th Street Heights was 43% Black, 27% White, 23% Hispanic, and 2% Asian (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). The correspondence between neighborhood racial composition and economic resources aligned with documented patterns of structural inequality and residential segregation.

#### ***Digital Connectivity***

Internet access disparities directly affected emergency communication capacity. In Ivy City, 7% of residents (approximately 156 individuals) lacked any internet access, compared to less than 1% (approximately 6 individuals) in 16th Street Heights (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). This digital divide prevented the timely receipt of emergency alerts, access to online preparedness resources, and the ability to monitor evolving disaster

conditions. During emergencies when traditional communication infrastructure becomes disrupted, internet-based systems often provide critical redundancy—a capability unavailable to digitally disconnected populations.

The research team documented that internet access gaps correlated with other vulnerability factors, creating compounding disadvantages. Low-income households, elderly residents, and individuals with limited English proficiency demonstrated higher rates of digital disconnection, meaning that those in most need of emergency information faced the greatest barriers accessing it through contemporary communication channels.

#### ***Transportation Access***

Transportation disparities significantly affected evacuation capacity. The research used commuting patterns as proxy indicators for vehicle availability. In Ivy City, 396 residents (approximately 18% of the population) commuted to work by personal vehicle, while public transportation and walking constituted primary commuting methods for the majority (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). In contrast, 16th Street Heights showed 364 residents (27% of the population) commuting by personal vehicle despite the neighborhood's smaller total population.

Public transportation access partially compensated for limited vehicle ownership in some scenarios but created vulnerabilities in others. Ivy City contained an Amtrak station within block group boundaries but no Metro stations and limited bus routes. The nearest Metro station required a 26-minute walk—feasible under normal conditions but potentially prohibitive for elderly residents, individuals with mobility limitations, or during severe weather. 16th Street Heights offered numerous bus stops and Metro access within 28 minutes' walk, though still relied primarily on private vehicles for emergency evacuation.

During disasters requiring rapid evacuation, these transportation limitations become critical constraints. Families without vehicles depend on public systems potentially overwhelmed by simultaneous demand or disrupted by disaster conditions. The assumption underlying many evacuation protocols—that residents can independently reach designated shelters or evacuate cities entirely—breaks down for populations lacking reliable transportation.

#### ***Emergency Services Proximity***

Geographic accessibility to emergency services varied meaningfully between neighborhoods. Ivy City residents faced 9-minute travel times to the nearest police and fire stations and 15-minute travel to the nearest hospital (using typical traffic conditions). 16th Street Heights demonstrated 5-minute access to police, 3-minute access to fire services, and 16-minute hospital travel time (Google Maps, 2023). While these differences appear modest, during emergencies when response time critically affects outcomes, several minutes can prove consequential.

These proximity differences must be interpreted alongside other neighborhood characteristics. Ivy City's denser population and lower vehicle ownership mean emergency services must reach more people over longer distances using potentially congested routes. Infrastructure quality, including road width and condition, further affects emergency vehicle access—factors not fully quantified in this analysis but visually apparent during mapping exercises.

### ***Language and Communication Capacity***

Language proficiency affected emergency communication effectiveness. In Ivy City, 40 residents (1.8% of the population) demonstrated no English ability, requiring emergency communications in alternative languages for comprehension (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). While 1.8% appears modest, absolute numbers matter during emergencies when every unreached individual faces heightened risk. 16th Street Heights reported all residents maintaining at least moderate English ability, simplifying uniform communication strategies.

The research acknowledged that census language data likely undercount communication barriers. Self-reported English ability may overestimate functional comprehension, particularly for technical emergency instructions. Additionally, language proficiency varies by context—conversational ability may not translate to understanding complex evacuation procedures or disaster safety protocols.

### ***Household Composition and Vulnerability***

Demographic characteristics affecting disaster vulnerability showed meaningful variation. Ivy City contained 119 residents age 65 or older (5.3% of population) and 126 households with one or more disabled individuals (17% of households). 16th Street Heights included 66 elderly residents (4.9% of population) and 53 households with disabled members (15% of households) (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). While proportions appeared similar, Ivy City's higher absolute numbers combined with fewer economic resources created greater collective vulnerability.

Household composition analysis revealed different support structures. Average household size in Ivy City was 2.06 persons compared to 3.15 in 16th Street Heights (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). Larger households potentially provide mutual assistance during emergencies, while single-person households—more prevalent in Ivy City—may lack immediate support networks. However, household size alone inadequately captures social capital and community cohesion—factors requiring qualitative assessment beyond census data.

### ***Points of Interest and Community Assets***

Despite resource disparities, both neighborhoods contained valuable community assets applicable to emergency management. The research team identified schools, community centers, places of worship, and commercial facilities potentially serving as shelters, resource distribution points, or coordination centers during disasters.

Ivy City contained several key locations, including Crummell School, providing space for community gathering with potential generator backup; the Ivy City Youth Center, offering services to vulnerable populations and maintaining community connections; and several churches representing trusted community institutions. The neighborhood's industrial character included large commercial facilities with potential emergency utility though requiring advance coordination for public access.

16th Street Heights' assets emphasized educational and religious institutions. Multiple schools within and adjacent to the block group provided substantial shelter capacity. Various churches and community organizations maintained established networks connecting residents. The neighborhood's proximity to major commercial corridors ensured access to large grocery stores

and pharmacies, though these sat primarily outside block group boundaries.

The research emphasized that points of interest represent more than physical infrastructure—they embody social capital and community networks critical during emergencies. Trusted institutions can disseminate information through established relationships, coordinate mutual assistance, and provide culturally appropriate services. Effective emergency planning must recognize and support these assets rather than bypassing them through top-down interventions.

### ***DisasterGuard Prototype Functionality***

The developed prototype demonstrated how technology can support community-centered emergency coordination while addressing documented resource disparities. Key functionality included disaster simulation, interactive mapping, route planning, and communication distribution—all designed for accessibility by community coordinators without specialized training.

### ***Simulation Capabilities***

The application allowed coordinators to initiate disaster simulations by selecting emergency types (snowstorm or hurricane) and inputting known parameters. For snowstorms, parameters included forecasted snowfall amounts and rates, temperature ranges, wind speeds, visibility conditions, and expected duration. For hurricanes, inputs encompassed wind speed, Saffir-Simpson category, rainfall totals, tide heights, trajectory, and advance warning timeframes.

Based on input parameters, the system generated scenario-specific information displays. For example, a simulation of 8 inches of snowfall accumulating over 12 hours with temperatures below 20°F and wind speeds exceeding 30 mph would trigger protocols for road closure, establishment of warming centers at identified points of interest, and coordination of wellness checks for elderly and disabled residents. The application calculated timeframes for various thresholds—when roads become unsafe, when hypothermia risk increases for outdoor exposure, when power outages might occur—providing coordinators with planning timelines.

Hurricane simulations incorporated similar logic with different criteria. Category 3 hurricanes with 36-hour advance warning might trigger partial evacuation of flood-prone areas while establishing shelter-in-place protocols for structurally sound buildings. The application generated estimated evacuation times accounting for neighborhood demographics and available transportation, helping coordinators determine whether evacuation remained feasible or whether shelter-in-place represented the safer option.

### ***Interactive Mapping***

The prototype's mapping interface provided multiple overlays supporting spatial decision-making. The base layer displayed street networks with the capability for coordinators to mark roads as open or closed based on current conditions. This feature proved critical for evacuation routing, ensuring generated paths avoided impassable streets.

The points of interest overlay highlighted identified community assets with detailed facility information. Selecting any location revealed address, capacity estimates, known emergency capabilities (generator backup, medical supplies, communication

equipment), and accessibility features. Coordinators could edit this information as situations evolved and create routes from neighborhoods to selected destinations.

The emergency services overlay showed police stations, fire departments, hospitals, and clinics with routing capabilities. Coordinators could quickly identify the nearest medical facilities or generate routes for residents requiring emergency services. This feature particularly benefited populations with limited local knowledge or mobility constraints.

The topographic overlay presented elevation data identifying flood-prone areas—critical for hurricane planning and useful for understanding drainage patterns during winter storms. This visualization helped coordinators predict which streets might become impassable first and which homes faced heightened flooding risk, enabling targeted notifications and assistance.

### ***Route Planning and Evacuation***

The application generated evacuation routes accounting for multiple factors: current road conditions, destination capacity constraints, neighborhood demographics, and transportation availability. Three primary evacuation options addressed different scenarios: shelter within Washington, DC; temporary transfer to government-established emergency points; and full evacuation outside the city via highway routes.

For shelter-within-DC scenarios, the system identified available shelters considering capacity, amenities, and accessibility. Routing algorithms calculated optimal paths from neighborhood centers to shelters, estimating travel times under current traffic conditions. The application generated both immediate departure estimates and projected timeline for complete neighborhood evacuation, helping coordinators determine whether phased evacuation might reduce congestion.

Emergency transfer point routes connected neighborhoods to temporary staging areas where additional transportation and services awaited. These transfers addressed situations where destination shelters sat too far for walking, but complete evacuation exceeded immediate capacity. The application identified optimal transfer points and coordinated timing with broader municipal response systems.

Complete evacuation routes directed residents to the nearest highway exits, enabling departure from the metropolitan area. This option addressed catastrophic scenarios requiring maximum separation from disaster zones. The application calculated staggered departure schedules, minimizing traffic congestion while ensuring all residents received clear instructions.

Coordinators could publish approved routes directly through the application, pushing notifications to all registered residents simultaneously. Notifications included turn-by-turn directions, estimated travel times, destination information, and coordinator contact details for questions or assistance requests.

### ***Communication Systems***

The prototype incorporated multiple communication channels recognizing that no single method reaches all populations. Push notifications provided real-time updates to smartphone users. In-app messaging enabled two-way communication between coordinators and residents. The system logged all communications creating documentation for after-action reviews.

Communication templates addressed common emergency scenarios, enabling rapid distribution of standardized information while maintaining customization capacity. Templates existed for evacuation orders, shelter-in-place advisories, weather updates, resource distribution schedules, and all-clear notifications. Coordinators could modify templates as needed while maintaining message consistency.

The application supported multilingual communications, with template translations available in languages spoken by neighborhood residents. This feature addressed language barriers identified in community assessments, ensuring non-English-proficient populations received comprehensible emergency information.

For residents lacking smartphone access, the system generated printable communication summaries that coordinators could physically distribute or post in common areas. This accommodation acknowledged digital divides while maintaining information accessibility across all community members.

### ***Validation Through User Story***

The research team developed detailed user stories demonstrating prototype functionality in realistic scenarios. One scenario followed Sam Davis, designated Disaster Relief Coordinator for Ivy City, responding to an approaching hurricane. This narrative illustrated how coordinators might utilize application features throughout emergency progression.

Upon receiving hurricane warnings through weather services, Sam opened DisasterGuard and logged in using coordinator credentials. The application automatically populated current weather data for Ivy City, displaying hurricane trajectory, expected arrival time, and forecasted parameters including wind speed, rainfall, and storm surge potential.

Sam initiated simulation mode, confirming the specific weather event. The application generated scenario-specific guidance based on operational criteria established during research. With 36-hour advance notice and Category 3 classification, the system recommended: immediate notification of residents, assessment of evacuation feasibility, identification of vulnerable populations requiring assistance, and preparation of designated shelters.

Using the interactive map, Sam reviewed current road conditions and identified potential shelter locations. The application displayed three nearby schools with basement areas, adequate capacity, and generator backup. Sam marked several low-lying streets as likely to flood early, causing the routing system to avoid these paths in evacuation planning.

Sam next used the neighborhood information dashboard to identify specific residents requiring assistance: 119 elderly individuals, 126 households with disabled members, and 40 residents with limited English ability. Cross-referencing this information with the points of interest map, Sam planned targeted outreach ensuring vulnerable populations received evacuation assistance or appropriate shelter-in-place resources.

The application generated three evacuation route options. Sam selected shelter-within-DC to a nearby school capable of accommodating 500 residents. The system calculated an estimated 4-hour timeline for complete neighborhood evacuation accounting for limited vehicle access and possible carpooling arrangements.

Sam published the approved route, automatically notifying all registered residents with evacuation instructions, destination information, and departure recommendations.

Throughout the 36-hour pre-arrival period, Sam monitored updated weather data and adjusted plans accordingly. When forecasts shifted storm trajectory slightly, affecting flood projections, Sam updated road status and sent clarifying notifications to residents. The application's communication logs documented all messages, creating accountability and enabling residents to reference previous instructions.

This user story demonstrated how the application integrated multiple data sources and functions supporting coordinated emergency response. By consolidating neighborhood demographics, real-time disaster information, geographic analysis, and communication tools into a single platform, the system enabled informed decision-making by community coordinators without specialized training.

### **Scalability and Replicability**

Throughout development, the research team prioritized scalability and replicability, designing systems and processes applicable beyond the two study neighborhoods. Several features support broader implementation:

- **Standardized Data Sources:** By relying exclusively on publicly available census data, emergency management websites, and mapping platforms, the methodology eliminates proprietary data requirements. Any U.S. community can replicate data compilation processes, adjusting to local geography and demographics.
- **Modular Design:** The application architecture separates data management, analysis algorithms, and user interfaces into modular components. This structure enables customization for different community contexts—adjusting disaster types, social determinants, or operational criteria—without rebuilding entire systems.
- **Flexible Geographic Scales:** While the research focused on census block groups (1,000-3,000 residents), the framework accommodates different scales. Smaller communities might analyze entire municipalities, while larger metropolitan areas could maintain block group granularity. The key principle—matching planning scale to community social organization—remains constant.
- **Adaptable Disaster Scenarios:** The prototype incorporated snowstorm and hurricane scenarios relevant to Mid-Atlantic regions, but the underlying logic applies to other disaster types. Communities could develop criteria for earthquakes, wildfires, tornadoes, or floods using the same simulation framework with scenario-specific parameters.
- **Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination:** Although this research examined neighborhoods within one city, the approach supports coordination across municipal boundaries. Adjacent communities could share data standards and communication protocols while maintaining local autonomy over planning decisions. Regional implementations could nest community-level coordination within broader emergency management hierarchies.

The research demonstrated scalability potential through detailed documentation of all methodologies, extensive use of open-source tools and publicly available data, creation of replicable data templates, and development of comprehensive user guides. These resources enable other communities to adapt the framework without extensive technical expertise or substantial financial investment.

## **Discussion**

### **Implications for Emergency Management Practice**

This research demonstrates that systematic attention to social determinants can substantially enhance emergency preparedness equity. Traditional emergency planning assumes relatively uniform populations with standard resource access. By documenting specific disparities—internet connectivity gaps, transportation limitations, language barriers, income constraints—community-based assessments enable targeted interventions addressing actual needs rather than assumed capabilities.

The findings validate community-based emergency management principles while extending them through technology integration. Previous research established that local coordinators, trusted relationships, and neighborhood knowledge improve disaster response (Mazza et al., 2023). This study shows how technology platforms can amplify these advantages without requiring universal digital access. The coordinator-centered model concentrates technological capabilities where they provide maximum leverage while maintaining human-centered communication and decision-making.

The documented disparities between Ivy City and 16th Street Heights illustrate how emergency planning must differentiate responses based on neighborhood characteristics. Universal protocols assuming private vehicle access, internet connectivity, and English proficiency systematically disadvantage already vulnerable populations. Community-specific planning enables appropriate strategies: arranging transportation for populations lacking vehicles, providing multilingual communications, establishing multiple information channels for digitally disconnected residents, and identifying trusted local institutions for coordination.

The research also highlights infrastructure gaps requiring policy attention beyond emergency planning. While community-based coordination mitigates certain disparities, it cannot fully compensate for inadequate public transportation, insufficient affordable housing, limited broadband access, or concentrated poverty. Effective disaster resilience ultimately demands addressing structural inequities, creating differential vulnerability.

### **Technology Design Considerations**

The DisasterGuard prototype illustrates several principles for equitable emergency technology design. First, multi-channel communication strategies acknowledge that no single technology reaches all populations. While smartphone applications enable efficient information distribution, they must supplement rather than replace traditional channels including radio, television, phone trees, door-to-door notification, and community organization networks.

Second, coordinator-focused design concentrates advanced functionality where training and support can be provided while maintaining resident accessibility. Not all community members need simulation capabilities, detailed mapping tools, or route

generation algorithms. By empowering designated coordinators with comprehensive tools while providing residents with straightforward information access, the design balances functionality and usability.

Third, incorporating offline capabilities becomes critical for emergency technology. Disasters frequently disrupt internet and cellular infrastructure, yet emergency coordination must continue. While the current prototype assumes connectivity, operational implementations must include offline data storage, peer-to-peer communication protocols, and graceful degradation when network access becomes limited.

Fourth, privacy and security considerations require careful attention when applications store sensitive information about vulnerable populations. Data about elderly residents, individuals with disabilities, or limited English proficiency could enable targeted assistance during emergencies but also creates risks if improperly accessed. Robust security protocols, transparent data governance, and community consent mechanisms become essential for ethical implementation.

Fifth, ongoing maintenance and updates require sustainable funding and institutional commitment. Emergency preparedness technology cannot be developed once and forgotten. Census data requires periodic updates, disaster criteria need refinement based on evolving climate conditions, coordinator training demands regular refresh, and software platforms need continuous maintenance. Sustainable implementation requires multi-year resource commitments from supporting institutions.

### ***Social Determinants Framework Validation***

The research validates social determinants frameworks for understanding disaster vulnerability. The documented disparities— income, internet access, transportation, language—directly predicted differential emergency preparedness capacity. Communities experiencing multiple disadvantages face compounding vulnerabilities that simple resource allocation cannot address. Effective intervention requires understanding how various factors interact, creating distinct vulnerability profiles.

The findings also demonstrate that community assets partially offset resource limitations. Despite lower incomes and reduced infrastructure access, Ivy City maintained strong social institutions including youth centers, churches, and community organizations. These assets represent forms of social capital—trust, networks, reciprocity—that facilitate collective action during emergencies. Emergency planning must recognize and support these assets rather than bypassing them through top-down interventions.

However, the research also revealed limitations in social determinants data. Census databases inadequately capture certain vulnerable populations (homeless individuals, undocumented immigrants), provide limited information about disability types and severities, and offer no insight into social networks or community cohesion. Comprehensive vulnerability assessments require supplementing census data with community engagement, qualitative research, and local knowledge.

The temporal dimension of social determinants requires acknowledgment. Census data represent snapshots from specific years, but community characteristics evolve. Economic conditions shift with employment patterns, housing development alters neighborhood composition, and infrastructure investments change

resource accessibility. Emergency planning must incorporate mechanisms for periodic reassessment rather than treating initial vulnerability profiles as permanent.

### ***Policy Recommendations***

Several policy implications emerge from this research. First, federal and state emergency management agencies should prioritize community-based planning in resource allocation and technical assistance programs. Current grant structures often emphasize jurisdictional capabilities—county emergency operations centers, regional coordination protocols—while underinvesting in hyperlocal capacity building. Redirecting resources to support neighborhood-level coordination would enhance equity outcomes.

Second, census data collection should be expanded to better capture emergency preparedness-relevant information. Specific recommendations include disaggregated disability data indicating mobility, cognitive, and sensory limitations; household vehicle ownership at block group levels; backup generator access; and chronic health conditions requiring electricity-dependent medical equipment. Enhanced data collection would enable more precise vulnerability assessment and resource targeting.

Third, telecommunications policy should recognize internet access as essential infrastructure comparable to electricity and water. The documented digital divides preventing timely emergency information receipt justify policy interventions ensuring universal broadband access. Options include municipal broadband networks, subsidized service for low-income households, and requirements that developments include high-speed internet as basic infrastructure.

Fourth, transportation planning must incorporate emergency preparedness considerations. Public transit systems represent critical evacuation infrastructure for populations lacking private vehicles. However, many transit systems face funding shortfalls, compromising both routine service and emergency response capacity. Investment in resilient public transportation—including backup power for stations, expanded fleet capacity for evacuations, and improved coverage of underserved neighborhoods—would enhance equity during both normal operations and emergencies.

Fifth, building codes and housing policies should address structural resilience inequities. Low-income neighborhoods disproportionately contain older housing stock lacking modern safety features, adequate insulation, and structural integrity. Targeted weatherization programs, seismic retrofitting, and upgrade incentives would reduce physical vulnerability during shelter-in-place scenarios.

### ***Future Research Directions***

This research opens multiple avenues for future investigation. First, implementation research should document community adoption processes, coordinator training effectiveness, resident engagement patterns, and outcomes during actual emergencies. While prototype development demonstrates technical feasibility, real-world implementation involves social, political, and organizational complexities requiring empirical examination.

Second, comparative research across diverse geographic contexts would establish generalizability. This study examined two neighborhoods in one Mid-Atlantic city facing snowstorm and hurricane risks. Replication in different regions (Western wildfires, Midwestern tornadoes, Southern floods), community types

(suburban, rural, small towns), and cultural contexts (tribal lands, immigrant communities, college campuses) would identify necessary adaptations and universal principles.

Third, longitudinal research tracking how community vulnerability profiles evolve over time would inform adaptive planning. Do neighborhoods experiencing gentrification show shifting risk factors? How do infrastructure investments alter resource access? Do climate change impacts modify disaster frequencies and intensities, requiring updated operational criteria? Answering these questions requires sustained monitoring beyond single-point assessments.

Fourth, participatory action research engaging community members throughout assessment and planning processes would enhance validity and adoption. This research employed researcher-driven methods with limited community input. Collaborative approaches—wherein residents identify priorities, validate findings, and co-design interventions—would likely reveal insights missed by external analysis while building community ownership supporting sustained engagement.

Fifth, cost-effectiveness research comparing community-based approaches to traditional emergency management would inform resource allocation decisions. While this research demonstrated technical feasibility and theoretical advantages, policymakers require evidence about relative costs, prevented damages, and return on investment. Rigorous evaluation during implementation would generate needed data.

Sixth, an equity impact assessment examining how community-based planning affects disparities in disaster outcomes would validate social justice rationales. Does this approach reduce mortality, injury, and property damage differentials between advantaged and disadvantaged populations? Do vulnerable individuals report improved preparedness, reduced anxiety, and better recovery trajectories? Outcome evaluation must accompany process documentation.

### **Study Contributions**

This research makes several contributions to emergency management literature and practice. Methodologically, it demonstrates systematic compilation of publicly available data sources into comprehensive community vulnerability assessments—an approach replicable across diverse contexts without requiring specialized technical expertise or substantial financial resources. The detailed documentation of data sources, selection criteria, and compilation procedures creates a template adaptable by other communities and researchers.

Theoretically, the study integrates social determinants of health frameworks with emergency management literature, establishing clear mechanisms linking structural inequalities to disaster vulnerability. By documenting specific disparities across multiple dimensions—economic, digital, linguistic, transportation—the research moves beyond general statements about vulnerable populations to precise characterization of compounding disadvantages.

Practically, the DisasterGuard prototype demonstrates how technology can support equitable emergency coordination when designed with explicit attention to access barriers. The coordinator-focused model, multilingual capabilities, offline functionality, and integration with existing emergency management systems illustrate

design principles balancing sophisticated functionality with accessibility.

The research also contributes by validating census block groups as appropriate geographic units for community-based emergency planning. This scale proves small enough to reflect meaningful neighborhood variation while large enough to maintain data reliability and facilitate coordination. The block group framework aligns with Census Bureau data structures, simplifying replication.

Finally, the study advances understanding of how community assets complement resource-focused vulnerability assessments. By identifying points of interest beyond traditional emergency infrastructure—schools, churches, community centers—the research recognizes social capital and trusted institutions as emergency resources. This asset-based perspective complements deficit-focused vulnerability analysis, supporting more comprehensive resilience planning.

### **Conclusion**

This research demonstrates that emergency preparedness inequities reflect and amplify broader patterns of structural inequality affecting vulnerable communities. Through systematic comparison of two contrasting Washington, DC neighborhoods—Ivy City and 16th Street Heights—the study documented substantial disparities in income, internet access, transportation, language proficiency, and emergency service proximity. These disparities directly constrain disaster preparedness capacity, creating differential vulnerability to natural disasters.

The DisasterGuard application prototype illustrates how technology can support more equitable emergency coordination through community-based approaches. By empowering local coordinators with comprehensive tools while maintaining accessibility for all residents, the system leverages neighborhood knowledge and social capital while connecting to broader emergency management infrastructure. Key innovations include disaster simulation capabilities, interactive mapping with multiple specialized overlays, intelligent routing accounting for local conditions, and multi-channel communication strategies.

The research validates several core principles for equitable emergency planning. First, standardized city-wide protocols inadequately serve diverse populations experiencing varying resource constraints. Community-specific assessments identifying local social determinants enable targeted interventions addressing actual needs. Second, technology solutions must explicitly address digital divides rather than assuming universal connectivity. Coordinator-focused designs can concentrate technical capabilities where training and support are provided while maintaining information accessibility across all community members. Third, community assets—trusted institutions, social networks, local knowledge—represent critical resources complementing physical infrastructure and must be recognized in planning processes.

The methodology demonstrates replicability through exclusive reliance on publicly available data sources and detailed documentation of all procedures. Any U.S. community can conduct similar assessments using census databases, emergency management websites, and mapping platforms. The framework accommodates diverse geographic scales, disaster types, and community characteristics while maintaining core principles of evidence-based, community-centered planning.

However, several limitations constrain the interpretation and application of findings. The case study design examining two neighborhoods in one city limits generalizability, though replication across diverse contexts would establish broader validity. Reliance on secondary data sources excludes certain vulnerable populations and provides limited insight into community social dynamics. The prototype represents a demonstration system requiring substantial additional development for operational deployment. Most fundamentally, community-based coordination can mitigate but not eliminate structural inequities requiring policy interventions beyond emergency planning.

Future research should pursue implementation studies documenting adoption processes and outcomes during actual emergencies, comparative research across diverse geographic and cultural contexts, longitudinal analysis of evolving vulnerability profiles, participatory action research engaging communities throughout assessment and planning, cost-effectiveness evaluation comparing alternative approaches, and equity impact assessment examining differential outcomes.

Despite limitations, this research offers practical pathways toward more equitable emergency preparedness. The social determinants framework, systematic vulnerability assessment methodology, and technology platform prototype provide resources immediately applicable by emergency managers, community organizations, and policymakers. By demonstrating that comprehensive community assessments can be conducted using readily available data and that targeted interventions can be designed based on specific local needs, the research removes common barriers to implementing community-based approaches.

The increasing frequency and severity of natural disasters driven by climate change makes equitable emergency preparedness increasingly urgent. Disadvantaged communities already bearing disproportionate burdens of social inequity should not face compounding disaster vulnerabilities. This research contributes tools and knowledge supporting more just emergency management—an essential step toward building resilient, inclusive communities capable of protecting all members during crises.

FYI: This paper is being submitted to the US Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and India's National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA) on February 24.

## References

1. Anderson, M. (2016, April 7). *Who relies on public transit in the U.S.* Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2016/04/07/who-relies-on-public-transit-in-the-u-s/>
2. Azure Solution Ideas. (2023). *Task-based consumer mobile app*. Microsoft Learn. <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/solution-ideas/articles/task-based-consumer-mobile-app>
3. Census Reporter. (2021). *Census profile: BG 3, Tract 20.02, District of Columbia, DC*. <https://censusreporter.org/profiles/15000US110010020023-bg-3-tract-2002-district-of-columbia-dc/>
4. Census Reporter. (2021a). *Census profile: BG 1, Tract 88.03, District of Columbia, DC*. <https://censusreporter.org/profiles/15000US110010088031-bg-1-tract-8803-district-of-columbia-dc/>
5. Chakraborty, J., Collins, T. W., & Grineski, S. E. (2019). Exploring the environmental justice implications of Hurricane Harvey flooding in Greater Houston, Texas. *American Journal of Public Health, 109*(2), 244–250. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6336065/>
6. Cunningham, S., Schuldt, C. & Delorit, J. (2021). A simulation–optimization framework for post-disaster allocation of mental health resources. *Natural Hazards and Earth Systems Sciences, 21*, Volume 21, issue 12. <https://nhess.copernicus.org/articles/21/3843/2021/>
7. Cutter, S. L., Ash, K. D., & Emrich, C. T. (2014). The geographies of community disaster resilience. *Global Environmental Change, 29*, 65–77. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959378014001459>
8. Fang, L., Yang, S., & Xu, Y. (2018). Emergency response simulation based on multiagent modeling for community-level disaster management. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, 80*, 144–156.
9. Gopikrishnan, T. C. (2022). *Sample prototype*. Figma Community. <https://www.figma.com/community/file/1103011956427666925/sample-prototype>
10. Mazza, A., Talmoud, A., Granville-Martin, A., Allen, Y., Abbott, C., Keeton, D., & Mazza, N. (2023). *UDC DHS DisasterGuard proposal* [Unpublished manuscript]. University of the District of Columbia.
11. National Hurricane Center. (2023). *Saffir-Simpson hurricane wind scale*. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. <https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/aboutsshws.php>
12. Open Data DC. (2020). *Census tracts in 2020*. <https://opendata.dc.gov/datasets/DCGIS::census-tracts-in-2020/explore>
13. ReadyDC. (2023). *Hurricane preparedness*. <https://ready.dc.gov/page/hurricane>
14. Ready.gov. (2023, September 6). *Winter weather preparedness*. U.S. Department of Homeland Security. <https://www.ready.gov/winter-weather>
15. Reuter, C., & Kaufhold, M.-A. (2018). Fifteen years of social media in emergencies: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 34*(4), 1–17. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1468-5973.12196>
16. Rufat, S., Tate, E., Burton, C. G., & Maroof, A. S. (2019). Social vulnerability to floods: Review of case studies and implications for measurement. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 33*, 247–280. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282910128\\_Social\\_Vulnerability\\_to\\_Floods\\_Review\\_of\\_Case\\_Studies\\_and\\_Implications\\_for\\_Measurement](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282910128_Social_Vulnerability_to_Floods_Review_of_Case_Studies_and_Implications_for_Measurement)
17. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2017). *Greater impact: How disasters affect people of low socioeconomic status*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. [https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/dtac/srb-low-ses\\_2.pdf](https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/dtac/srb-low-ses_2.pdf)

18. Tierney, K. (2019). *Disasters: A sociological approach*. Polity Press.  
[https://www.google.com/books/edition/Disasters/4VRZEOA-AQBAJ?hl=en&gbpv=1&dq=Tierney,+K.+\(2019\).+Disasters:+A+sociological+approach.+Polity+Press&pg=PT14&printsec=frontcover](https://www.google.com/books/edition/Disasters/4VRZEOA-AQBAJ?hl=en&gbpv=1&dq=Tierney,+K.+(2019).+Disasters:+A+sociological+approach.+Polity+Press&pg=PT14&printsec=frontcover)
19. U.S. Census Bureau. (2020). *Explore census data*.  
<https://data.census.gov/>
20. U.S. Census Bureau. (2021). *Income and poverty in the United States: 2020*.  
<https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/visualizations/2021/demo/p60-273/figure2.pdf>
21. Vickriansyah, R. (2023). *Mobile banking*. Figma Community.  
<https://www.figma.com/community/file/1006124254408605916/Mobile-Banking>
22. Williams, D. R., Priest, N., & Anderson, N. B. (2016). Understanding associations among race, socioeconomic status, and health: Patterns and prospects. *Health Psychology*, 35(4), 407-411.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4817358/>
23. Yates, D., & Paquette, S. (2011). Emergency knowledge management and social media technologies: A case study of the 2010 Haitian earthquake. *International Journal of Information Management*, 31(1), 6–13.  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0268401210001453>