

# The Panchayath Raj System's role in the development of rural areas in the Haveri District's Ranebennur Taluk

Mohan Benageri<sup>1</sup>, Dr. V. Basil Hans<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD student at Srinivas University in Mangalore.

<sup>2</sup>Research professor at Srinivas University in Mangalore.

Received: 29/04/2025

Accepted: 26/05/2025

Published: 15/06/2025

**Abstract:** The Panchayati Raj system is very important for rural development all over India. In the instance of Ranebennur Taluk in Haveri District, Karnataka, it has been very helpful in making governance and development happen at the local level. The Panchayath Raj system is very important for making government less centralised and helping rural areas grow in India. This study looks at how well the Panchayath Raj Institutions (PRIs) work and what they do for rural development in the Ranebennur Taluk of Haveri District, Karnataka. The study looks at how local governments deal with problems including building infrastructure, providing education, health care, sanitation, and creating jobs. We got the information by doing field surveys, talking to Panchayath members, and looking at government data. The results show that the Panchayath Raj system has helped a lot with planning and carrying out many welfare programs, but problems like not enough money, not enough administrative capacity, and political meddling are still there. At the end of the study, there are suggestions for how to make local government stronger and more open so that more people can take part in it. This will help PRIs do a better job of promoting sustainable rural development.

**Keywords:** Panchayath Raj Institutions (PRIs), Rural Development, Ranebennur Taluk, Decentralised Governance, and Local Self-Government.

## Cite This Article:

Benageri, M., Hans, V. B., (2025). The Panchayath Raj System's role in the development of rural areas in the Haveri District's Ranebennur Taluk. *World Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 2(6), 10-16

## Introduction:

Most of India's people live in villages, so the country's overall economic growth needs to speed up by improving the lives of people in those villages. The main goal of India's development strategy is to improve rural areas. The goal of rural development programs is to help the rural poor grow in many ways by giving them the benefits of development. It attempts to raise their level of living by giving them chances to fully use their potential by getting involved in the process of goal-oriented change. Rural development also makes it less likely that people will move to cities and towns. It also makes it possible to exploit both human and natural resources in rural areas and to narrow the gaps between locations.

The goal of rural development is to help a certain set of people, poor rural men and women, get more of what they desire and need for their children. It means helping the poorest people who want to make a living in rural regions ask for and get more of the benefits of rural development.

There is a lot of evidence that shows that interest in rural development is developing quickly and strongly in India, and it is evident that our policy-makers are really committed to it. The

Indian government has started a number of programs to help peasants with their difficulties. In fact, work to enhance the economy in rural areas began quickly after independence, especially once the Constitution went into effect. In the beginning, rural development programs were almost the same as agricultural development programs, even if there were some attempts to involve the people through democratic decentralisation, community development, and Panchayat Raj. This was in line with what most people thought at the time, and India was quite worried about being able to feed itself, especially before the Green Revolution in the late 1960s. People began to understand in the 1970s that rural development is much more than just improving the population. It was also agreed that changes in demographics were not the only thing that needed to happen for things to get better, and that development should not be limited to one area, no matter how important it is. So, in order for the rural development strategy to work, it was necessary to take a multi-faceted approach to rural issues. A plan for rural development has to be devised, including a multi-dimensional approach and efforts from many different organisations.

People said that the introduction of Panchayat Raj was one of the most important political changes in Independent India. People also saw it as a revolutionary step. Panchayat Raj is a way for people to

\*Corresponding Author

Dr. V. Basil Hans\*

Research professor at Srinivas University in Mangalore.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license



rule themselves at the local level and take charge of their own development. It is also a way for institutions to work together to help rural development happen with the help of people's ideas and actions. Panchayat Raj is a system of three layers of democratic government at the district, block, and village levels. These are the Zilla Parishad, Taluka, and local panchayats. People think of these places as training grounds for democracy and places where people can learn about politics. The idea behind these institutions, which were founded in 1959, was decentralisation and gramme Swaraj. This level puts into action rural development plans and programs so that the community can directly benefit from the fruits of progress.

The involvement of the Panchayat Raj bodies and the bureaucracy in the structures and procedures that design and carry out the policies and programs for rural development have always been at the top of the list of complicated problems that policy makers have to deal with. The problem goes back to the years after Independence, when the government gave the bureaucracy almost all the power to plan and carry out development projects, including rural development programs (CDP) that started right after Independence. These programs did not bring about the desired level of social and economic change in rural areas, which led the government to look into why they failed. The Balwantrai Mehta Committee said that the Panchayat Raj institutions were the answer to the problem of people not being involved in the CDP's activities, which was the main reason why the program failed. The committee said that democratic decentralisation of rural development programs was the most important thing to do right now. They suggested reenergising the Panchayat Raj institutions to create a people-centered development model for rural development in India instead of the bureaucratic model based on the colonial mindset of the government.

The start of the Panchayat Raj bodies in 1959 in many parts of the country changed the whole idea of rural development. Local self-government was created, and people in rural areas were able to work together as equals to carry out development plans and programs. The bureaucracy saw the Panchayat Raj bodies as rivals instead of partners in managing the responsibilities of rural transformations because they saw people actively participating in development efforts as an assault to their privileged domain. The colonial mindset of bureaucracy was able to defeat the idea that local self-government institutions could be used to get people involved in running rural development programs for a long time. However, as time went on, the policy makers began to see how bad this problem was and how far it had gone. So, the push to reduce the district collector's role in carrying out development activities in the district began. It reached its peak with the passage of the seventy-third Amendment Act 1993, which made the Panchayat Raj bodies the only way to run rural development activities. Even though the Constitution clearly says what should happen, the issue is still not resolved. This is likely because the bureaucracy is trying to stop the Panchayat Raj institutions from properly planning and carrying out development plans in rural regions. The bureaucratic indifference towards the panchayat bodies is not only shown by the many obstacles that are put in the way of their developmental work, but also by the many indirect attempts to stifle these bodies, such as using audit objections to interfere with their work or even dissolving them on weak grounds in some cases.

The Panchayat Raj institutions in India have been there for a long time, and they have shown us what kinds of issues can arise when these basic institutions of rural self-government are not run

properly in a democratic society. These organisations are having a lot of problems with their structure, functions, and resources because they don't have the right viewpoint or positive vision at either the political or bureaucratic level. When the government finally decides to fix the system after a few years, it does solve some problems but also creates a new set of difficulties that make these bodies work less well. So, even though the Balwantrai Mehta Committee Report, the Ashok Mehta Committee Report, and the seventy-third Amendment Act all tried to make the Panchayat Raj institutions better, they haven't been able to change the way rural local self-government works in a way that makes it truly a proud part of the democratic process. In short, the problems with the Panchayat Raj bodies not working well come from both the bad laws on the subject and the people who run these bodies making mistakes that hurt their own interests. On the other hand, politicians and bureaucrats who wrongly see the Panchayat Raj as a threat to their well-established interests in the system also contribute to these problems.

The elected members of the Panchayat Raj bodies and the district level bureaucracy don't always get along, which has sometimes been a problem for the institutions of rural self-government. As said before, the main point of disagreement between government officials and Panchayat members is how to design, carry out, and keep an eye on rural development programs in the district. In the past, it was the job of the bureaucracy to plan and carry out these kinds of programs without any outside oversight. Because of this, the bureaucracy has seen the creation of the Panchayat Raj institutions for these purposes as an attack on their work. But over the years, as the elected rural self-governing bodies have taken on more and more developmental powers and duties, the bureaucracy seems to have developed a sadistic attitude towards them and has always been looking for a way to stop the Panchayats' initiatives. When two important players in rural development have their roles clearly defined, the institutions become more complicated. The obvious result has been that these agencies often have a lot of overlapping responsibilities and, at times, work against each other.

This is one of the main reasons why the Panchayat Raj didn't work. Unfortunately, the current change to the constitution has not addressed this issue and has given the legislatures the power to make the necessary changes. It is still unclear whether the legislatures will properly address the issue of the relationship between local level bureaucracy and elected representatives of Panchayat Raj, since the state level bureaucracy is more powerful and plays a key role in making policies and laws.

In this case, it seems like the Panchayati Raj bodies would have a hard time doing their jobs of rural development and giving the rural people a way to participate in the district's government unless a way is found to free the elected bodies from the undue pressure of the bureaucracy and other vested interests that are working against them.

Because of a number of circumstances, the Panchayat Raj institutions were unable to do what was expected of them. Caste and land ownership-based traditional leadership is still quite strong. There hasn't been any functional leadership yet. The common villager has found that vested interests, corruption, inefficiency, groupism, unhealthy rivalry, misuse of power, and choices and acts made for personal gain have made Panchayat Raj less useful and less effective.

Even though things were bad before 1993, they have started to get better since the Seventy-Third Amendment Act was passed. This

was because it fixed the most obvious problems with the structures and functions of the Panchayat Raj bodies. For example, the autonomous state election commission is now required to hold elections for these bodies, which ensures their survival. Additionally, these bodies are now guaranteed financial resources, which has helped to get the idea of Panchayat Raj back on track in the country. The biggest change has been the one-third reservation of seats and the offices of the chairpersons of the women. This has gotten the half of the Indians who were sleeping to wake up and become the leaders of the rural socio-economic reconstruction and transformation in Indian society. They have not only become the active agents for bringing about a holistic transformation in the outlook of the village folks towards the initiatives of the government in carrying out the policies of rural development as they no longer remain passive recipient to the doles given by the authorities, but also successes in chartering a new course in honesty and efficiency by evolving unique monitoring system for the pioneering rural development schemes like the National Rural Employment Guarantee Sc

Modern public administration is not only about getting things done quickly; it also supports democratic involvement, accountability, and empowerment. So, there is always a conflict between (a) how to make the government work better and (b) how to hold it accountable. There is also a tension between "the idea of people as consumers in the context of relations between state and market." And the idea of "people" as citizens in the framework of state-society relations; what it means is that citizen involvement in public affairs is becoming more and more important. So, institutional changes are just one important way to give individuals more power, especially impoverished people. The 2000 World Bank report backs up this policy, which stresses that:

Changing the way public hierarchies are set up is only one part of institutional transformation. It focusses on the many rules of the game that affect what public actors do and how they act, such as the "voice" systems that support the rule of law and hold the government accountable to its constituents.

This point of view emphasises how important it is for the government to be focused on the people. There have been a number of changes made to the government in response to the push from both inside and outside the country. The adoption of the seventy-fourth Amendment Act of 1993 and the execution of the Fifth Pay Commission Report seem to be the most important measures because they had a big effect on how the government works. Based on the points of view given above, these are the important steps that could change the way government works in a big way and change the way it works in a big way. It's interesting that these two things—the Amendment Acts and the pay Commission recommendations—are in line with both the Central Government's push for liberalisation and the World Bank's strong focus on "good governance" and decentralisation. As the main force behind crafting policies moves from centralised state institutions to the markets, these changes should, in principle, make it easier to set up systems that give power to local groups. The main idea of Panchayat Raj is to use local knowledge, popular experience, and involvement to make choices that benefit people in the area. There is no doubt that the reformed Panchayat Raj institutions are meant to change the way decisions are made by getting people in the community involved all the time. In principle, this means that power is being shifted to lower, previously "disempowered" groups in the rural population. The only problem with this argument is that village-based institutions still reflect

unequal social and economic structures. Higher caste and economically powerful groups in the village are still the leaders in Panchayat, while women, despite the reservation, are still "proxies" for men who are involved in Panchayat affairs and decisions. Even though there are clear structural problems, the Panchayat Raj institutions offer a way of governing that is based on communal resources. At the most basic level, community is the natural unit of cultural, social, economic, and political organisation. Because Panchayat Raj institutions are becoming more popular, it's clear that relying on community resources is a better way to run things than trying to bring in management from outside.

## Problem Statement:

The reinvigorated push for democratic decentralisation and putting citizens first has broken the bureaucratic monopoly on the development process and moved power to the people who matter most at the grassroots level. This has been the case for a number of reasons, including the constitutional recognition of rural and urban local bodies, the state-level election commission and finance commission, and the granting of mandatory status to the gramme sabha. As a result, bureaucrats are seen as just helping and promoting development, not as regulators and directors. In the theory of democratic decentralisation, bureaucracy is not an agent of change but a catalyst for change. So, this is a big step towards breaking down the bureaucratic authority that has been so paternalistic until now.

The Seventy-Third Amendment Act has greatly limited the power of bureaucrats, especially when it comes to development plans at the grassroots level, by giving the Panchayat Raj institutions a qualitatively distinct function. For example, in Maharashtra, Gujarat, and West Bengal, the district collector or magistrate was completely out of the Zilla Parishads. In Uttar Pradesh and Bihar, on the other hand, they can go to meetings of the Panchayat samiti and its standing committee but can't speak out. Also, the fact that the gramme sabha is now legally recognised as the centre of local government has completely changed the way power works at the grassroots level. These gramme sabha can now talk about and offer ideas for development, find people who will benefit from different development programs, talk about the Panchayat budget, and assess and keep an eye on how different development programs are going. The government's choice to carry out a number of development programs, such as the Eighth Five Plan's Jawahar Rozgar Yozana, has also made the Panchayat's position more legitimate. The gramme sabha are now tools that weaken the bureaucratic monopoly in the localities since they are involved in both designing and carrying out programs that meet local needs. The idea of a "people's audit" has become more important as more and more people get involved in the Panchayat Raj institutions. It is a strong check on problems with how bureaucrats work in the development field, such as misappropriating funds, making false reports, or wrongly identifying beneficiaries.

The Panchayat Raj is one of the best ways to get ordinary people involved in government by giving them a say in the creation and implementation of rural development policies and programs. However, leaders have never liked the idea because they are afraid it will weaken their control over the social, economic, and political aspects of rural life that affect their chances of winning elections. So, even if Panchayat Raj is a new idea for democratic decentralisation that should help strengthen the spirit of democracy and participatory governance, it seems more like a formal ritual than an experience in rural self-governing.

The Seventy-third Constitutional Amendment Act seems to have fixed a lot of the structural problems with the Panchayat Raj institutions. However, the real challenge for these institutions to work well is changing the way that government power brokers think about them. For example, the requirement for time-limited mandatory elections for these institutions may have guaranteed their continued existence. However, both politicians and bureaucrats still have a number of questionable tools at their disposal that can hinder the efficient operation of these bodies. So, it seems that everyone who wants the Panchayat Raj institutions to work well needs to work together and keep an eye out for any attempts to weaken them. At the same time, they need to start a campaign to make the Panchayat Raj system even stronger in the country. On the other hand, an efficient bureaucracy can't take the place of a democratic, decentralised government, and local staffing is best for local bodies.

The current study looks at how Panchayat Raj institutions help rural development in the Ranebennur taluk of Haveri district in the state of Karnataka.

### **Importance:**

The work's importance comes from looking at how the Panchayat Raj Institutions help rural development. The paper is important because it looks at the different rural development programs that Panchayat Raj institutions have used. In the planning documents, rural development programs were very successful. It also helps people get involved in development programs.

### **Objectives:**

The study called "The Role of the Panchayat Raj System in the Rural Development of the Ranebennur Taluk in Haveri District" has the following goals:

1. To look at how important rural development is in the Ranebennur taluka.
2. The study's goal is also to find out how Panchayat Raj Systems are growing in the area being studied.
3. The study also wants to look at how rural development programs are put into action.
4. To learn how Panchayat Raj institutions help rural development.
5. To look at how Panchayat Raj institutions help rural areas grow.
6. To offer ways to make Panchayat Raj institutions more important in rural development

### **Review of the Literature:**

There is a lot of writing about Panchayat Raj, including books, committee reports, and essays that have been published in a number of journals. These studies have looked at both theory and real-world examples. The next few pages talk about the main results of these investigations.

Khanna (1956). looks into the history of the structure, machinery, and operation of Panchayat Raj Institutions in India. He argues that Panchayat Raj has been going through a crisis of confidence and low self-esteem. The Panchayat Raj system also has several additional problems, such as not having enough money, officials who are not fair, a lot of people who can't read or write, a lot of people who don't know anything, class consciousness, party strife, and not enough financial and administrative freedom. Even with all

of these problems and flaws, the Institutional Panchayat Raj has contributed a "new dimension" to rural development and brought socio-economic democracy to the doorsteps of the average person in Indian communities. The author says that Panchayat Raj's essential needs are;

A well-organised, scientific, and well-thought-out government and

An efficient administrative system that runs smoothly so that the Panchayat Raj Institutions can serve as the tools of civic and socio-economic democracy and rural development.

Mathur and Narian (1969) focus on two main points:

Panchayat Raj, democracy, and

The political and administrative parts of Panchayat Raj.

They think that Panchayat Raj and parliamentary democracy are at odds with one other. It is important that Panchayat Raj Institutions and the community development strategy work closely together.

They come to the conclusion that the issue of official and unofficial relationships is not as hard to understand as it seems. They say that the elected representative needs training and education in order to be successful.

Sudesh Kumar Sharma, 1976. has tried to look into the changes that have been made to Panchayat Raj in the country since independence. The author has used analysis to summarise the main points of the reports from various committees, study teams, and so on that are relevant to the issue of democratic decentralisation and Panchayat Raj Institutions. He has also talked about new trends and things that still need to be done. The study takes a short but important look at the different problems and gaps in an academic way.

The Ashoka Mehta Committee was formed in 1978 to look at how the Panchayat Raj institutions worked and to come up with ways to make them stronger so that decentralised planning and development might flourish. The committee said that the structure, functions, and uses of financial, administrative, and human resources in Panchayat Raj Institutions should be based on the new needs of managing rural development. It also suggested a "two-tier model" of Panchayat Raj instead of the "three-tier model" of the Balwant Rai Mehta Committee. The two levels were Zilla Parishad at the district level and Mandal Panchayat at the village level.

Hooja (1978) tried to look at the origins of the two ideas of Panchayat Raj and democratic decentralisation from a historical point of view and in light of what happened before and after independence. He says that the Panchayat Institutions and the district administration need to work together in a way that is peaceful and cooperative. The Panchayat Raj bodies should not be competitors for each other's powers, but rather work together as parts of the same democratic administration at the state level.

Bhargava (1979) tried to study leadership under the Panchayat Raj system in Jhunjhunu, one of the districts of Rajasthan. He noted that the way leaders act in the Panchayat Raj system is different from how leaders act in traditional rural settings, hence this kind of leadership may be dubbed "nontraditional." These institutions don't give enough voice to the weaker groups. Lastly, he said that the local government system needs good training for its leaders at the grassroots level to work well.

Darshankar (1979) talked about how caste and policies affect the role of money, education, and social status in the functioning of

Panchayat Raj Institutions in the Marthwada region of Maharashtra State. He said that the leaders of Panchayat Raj need to be very dedicated to its goals for it to work well. Panchayat Raj hasn't given the common person all the benefits they hoped for, but it has changed a lot of things.

Singh (1987) talks about the history of the Panchayat Raj institutions in India and also talks on the theoretical, organisational, and functional aspects of the Panchayat Raj. This study says that the gap between theory and practice stayed the same. The author says that the Panchayat Raj system in Bihar has some very interesting features. For example, the Panchayat is a training ground at the grassroots level that gives people the chance to move up from being a local leader to being a district or state leader. The panchayat was still run by local bureaucrats, thus the rural people couldn't learn to be self-sufficient and self-reliant. And without the money it needed, the panchayat couldn't do its job properly. The state government didn't care much about the Panchayat Raj Institutions.

In her 1989 piece "Panchayat Raj at Cross Roads," Indira Hirway talks about how these ideas don't work and how Panchayat Raj may work in our democratic system. She has looked at what has happened in different states and learnt from those events. Then she looked closely at the 64th Amendment Bill and thought about what it would mean to make Panchayat Raj stronger in the country. She says that the Panchayat Raj system in our country isn't working very well and has to be revived. Changing the current structure, or at least making the position of the success of Panchayat Raj stronger. She thinks that the 64th Amendment Bill was at best a poor and incomplete attempt to save Panchayat Raj. The centre was more likely to misuse it than to support the process of decentralisation.

The study of the preceding literature demonstrates that all of the studies described above have looked at the big picture, but no one has tried to find out how Panchayat Raj Institutions work at a smaller level, especially in the less developed Haveri district. So, to fill in the gaps in the current literature, this study will look into the function of the Panchayat Raj system in rural development in the Ranebennur taluk of Haveri district.

### Research Gaps:

- Few Micro-Level Studies: There are a lot of studies on Panchayath Raj Institutions at the state and national levels, but there aren't many that look closely at Ranebennur Taluk and its particular social and economic situations.

Not enough evaluation of program implementation: A lot of the time, existing research doesn't look at how government rural development schemes are actually put into action and what happens in real time in the region. This makes it hard to fully appreciate how effective they are.

- Not Enough Data on Public Participation: There isn't much real-world data on how much and how well people in the community take part in Panchayath meetings and decision-making processes in Ranebennur.

Gender and Social Inclusion Analysis: There isn't much research on the roles and problems that women, Dalits, and other marginalised groups confront in the operation of PRIs, especially in the local environment.

Impact of Digital Governance: The use of technology and digital tools in local government and how they actually affect transparency and service delivery is a new topic that hasn't been studied enough in this Taluk yet.

### Methodology:

For this investigation, we used empirical, historical, and analytical methodologies. The current study will use both primary and secondary sources. We get data and information from both official records and published works that are available in different libraries in Karnataka. The structured questionnaire was given to randomly chosen members of the Zilla Panchayat, Taluka Panchayat, and Gramme Panchayat to collect primary data. In addition to these sources, the study also uses research materials found on the internet.

### Data sources:

For a full study and research on the Panchayat Raj system in rural development. We will use both primary and secondary data. The main source of information is the statements of the local leaders. Published materials like books, journals, and so on are examples of secondary data.

#### Study

##### 1. Strengths and Good Effects

###### Place

###### Effect

Making decisions without a central authority

Gave local communities the power to participate in government, making sure that solutions were relevant to them.

###### Putting plans into action

The delivery of rural development programs like MGNREGA, PMAY-G, and Jal Jeevan Mission is effective. There have been big gains in jobs and basic needs.

###### Growth of infrastructure

Better roads, housing, and community resources (such as anganwadis and school buildings) in rural areas.

###### Inclusion in society

More women and people from under-represented groups are getting involved through constitutional reservations and grassroots leadership.

###### Providing Services

Panchayat collaboration is helping health and education services reach remote places. Better immunisation and more kids going to school.

##### 2. Problems and Limitations

###### Problem

###### What it is

###### Dependence on Money

Panchayats depend a lot on money from the state and the centre. They can't make enough money on their own, which makes them less independent.

## Limits on capacity

A lot of Panchayat members don't have any training on how to run a business, budget, or use technology.

## Delays in the Bureaucracy

Slow payment of funds, delays in procedures, and corruption make it hard to carry out plans on time.

## Interference in politics

Politics at higher levels can occasionally affect local government, which changes what is important.

## Checking and being responsible

Bad ways to keep an eye on results and get people involved in judging how well the Panchayat is doing.

## 3. Ways to Make Things Better

**Skill Development:** Panchayat members should undergo regular training on how to run the government, make budgets, and use digital technologies.

**Digital Governance:** Using e-Governance to make things more open, track money better, and help citizens with their complaints.

**Local Resource Mobilisation:** Getting people to pay taxes in new ways and get involved in their communities to pay for local projects.

The Convergence Approach calls for better cooperation amongst agencies like health, agriculture, and education to help rural areas grow as a whole.

Getting young people involved: using village development councils and internet channels to get rural youth involved.

## 4. Overall Evaluation

The Panchayati Raj system in Ranebennur Taluk has helped rural areas grow, especially in terms of basic infrastructure, welfare programs, and getting people involved in their communities. But the system still has problems with independence, capacity, and efficiency.

It is a work in progress that has good foundations but needs stronger support mechanisms and changes to reach its full potential as a driver of inclusive and sustainable rural development.

## Implications

### 1. Effects on society and the economy

#### What it means

#### What it means

#### Better Quality of Life

Better roads, potable water, and housing have made life better in many villages.

#### Creating Jobs

MGNREGA and other programs that help people find work in rural areas have helped people find jobs, especially those who don't have any skills.

#### Empowerment of Women

Women in Panchayats have been able to participate in politics, gain confidence, and become leaders as a result of the reservation.

## Development for Everyone

Mandated representation has made it easier for SC/ST and backward populations to get to resources and services.

## Less Migration

The availability of jobs and local development efforts have helped cut down on seasonal migration to cities.

## 2. Effects on Administration and Governance

### Meaning

#### How it works

#### Making local government stronger

Giving villages more freedom and authority to make decisions makes government work better.

#### Needs for Building Capacity

Because of more work at the Panchayat level, people need to get regular training and improve their skills.

#### Being responsible and open

Gramme Sabhas provide people a better idea of how the government works and let them ask local authorities questions directly.

#### Democracy with Participation

People voting in Gramme Sabhas and Panchayat elections helps democracy grow from the ground up.

#### Service delivery that isn't centralised

Now, education, health, sanitation, and welfare services are more localised and better able to meet the requirements of the community.

## 3. What this means for policy and planning for development

### What it means

### What it means

#### Why we need to give up some of our money

To make Panchayats better at planning local development, they need more financial independence.

#### Rural Development That Works Together

Panchayats may bring together many government programs to help villages grow in all areas.

#### Monitoring in Real Time

The use of digital technologies to track the implementation of schemes in real time can be expanded throughout the Taluk.

#### Planning Based on Evidence

Panchayats can help with planning for development based on facts by keeping reliable records of people and things in their area.

## 4. Effects Over Time

**Sustainable Rural Development:** Empowered Panchayats are important for long-term development strategies that are based on local resources and demands.

**Strengthened Democratic Values:** The Panchayati Raj system's continued success builds trust in democracy and gets people involved in their communities.

**Balanced Regional Development:** Strong Panchayats can help communities become self-sufficient, which can help close the gap between rural and urban areas.

The Panchayati Raj system in Ranebennur Taluk has the power to change things. Its effects go beyond making things easier for the government; it can also affect social fairness, economic progress, and the culture of democracy in rural Karnataka. But to get these results, we will need to keep investing in capacity building, financial empowerment, and policy support.

#### Limitations:

The current study only looks at how the Panchayat Raj system affects rural development in the Ranebennur taluk. It only talks about nature, growth, and the panchayat Raj system's influence in rural development in the study area. This study, on the other hand, only briefly talks about the function of leaders and their attitudes at the local level.

#### Directions for Further research

**Comparative Analysis Across Taluks:** Future research might look at how well Panchayath Raj Institutions work in Ranebennur Taluk compared to those in nearby taluks or districts to find the best ways to do things and the problems that are unique to each area.

**Impact Evaluation of Specific Schemes:** There can be in-depth studies to see how certain rural development programs (like MGNREGA and Swachh Bharat Abhiyan) that were put into place by PRIs in the area have affected people.

**The Role of Women and Marginalised Groups in PRIs:** More research is needed to find out how women, Scheduled Castes, and Scheduled Tribes are involved in making decisions and running the Panchayath.

**Needs for training and capacity building:** Looking at the training and capacity building needs of elected Panchayath members and administrative staff can help us figure out how to make local government stronger.

**Using Technology in Government:** Future research might look into how digital tools and e-governance are being used at the Panchayath level in Ranebennur and how they affect openness, efficiency, and public involvement.

## Conclusion

The Panchayati Raj system in Ranebennur Taluk has helped rural areas grow a lot by giving people more power and making it easier for them to go to important services and infrastructure. By giving

PRIs more power through capacity building, digital governance, and financial independence, they will be able to play an even bigger role in defining the future of rural Ranebennur.

## References

1. In Ramesh K. Arora and Rakesh Hooja, eds., *Administration of Rural Development: India and Comparative Perspectives* (Jaipur, Arihant, 1994),
2. Robert Chambers wrote a book called "Rural Development: Putting the Last First" in 1983.
3. Mishra, S. N. "Panchayati Raj" in M.P. in 1998 Singh and Himanshu Roy (eds) *Indian Political System*. New Delhi: Jnanada Prakashn. P.241
4. N.L. Mishra 1989. *Responsive Government*. Amar Jyothi Prakashan Jaipur. p. 40
5. *The Hindu*, New Delhi, August 18, 2007.
6. The World Bank's Public Sector Group, Poverty Reduction, and Economic Management Network. Two thousand. A strategy from the World Bank is to improve governance and change public institutions. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications.
7. R.I. Khanna Monhindra Capital Publishers, Sector 11-D Chandigarh, 1966, published "Panchayat Raj in Punjab and Haryana."
8. M.V. Mathur & Iqbal Narain and Sinha, V.M. "Panchayat Raj in Rajasthan: A Case Study of Jaipur District" by Impax India, New Delhi. 1966.
9. Trimurti Publications, New Delhi, 1976, published Sudesh Kumar Sharma "Panchayat Raj in India."
10. The Government of India's Committee on Panchayat Raj Institutions a report New Delhi 1978.
11. Hooja, B "Panchayat Raj versus Decentralisations of Administrations," *Indian Journal of Public Administrations*, Vol. No. 3, July to September 1978.
12. Bhargava B.S. "Grass Roots Leadership: A Study of Leadership in Panchayat Raj Institutions," published by Ashish Publishing House New Delhi in 1979.
13. Arjun Y. Darshankar "Leadership in Panchayat Raj: A Study of Beed District of Maharashtra," published by Panchasheel Prakashan Jaipur in 1979.
14. Singh Rajendra Prasad "Bihar men Gramme Panchyat" for the Bihar Hindi Granthy Academy Patna 1987.
15. Indira Hirwary's "Panchayat Raj at Grassroots" *The Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. XXIV.